

1  
2  
3 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
4  
5 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
6

7 RICHARD CHARLES VASQUEZ,

No. C 17-5561 WHA (PR)

8 Plaintiff,

**ORDER OF DISMISSAL**

9 v.

10 SANTA CLARA COUNTY  
11 SHERIFF; SAN JOSE POLICE  
12 DEPARTMENT,

Defendants.  
13 /

14  
15 This is a civil rights action filed under 42 U.S.C. 1983. On January 12, 2018, an order of  
16 reassignment that had been mailed to plaintiff at the address he last provided to the court was  
17 returned by the postal service as undeliverable because plaintiff was not located there. Plaintiff  
18 has not notified the clerk of his current address. Pursuant to Local Rule 3-11(b) — requiring  
19 parties to keep the court informed of their current mailing address and stating that the failure to  
20 do so within sixty days of the return of mail as undeliverable will result in dismissal of their case  
21 without prejudice — this case is **DISMISSED** without prejudice. *See also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).  
22 He may re-file his complaint in a new case, provided he keeps the court informed of his correct  
23 address in accordance with the local rules.

24 The Clerk shall enter judgment and close the file.

25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 Dated: March 19, 2018.



27 WILLIAM ALSUP  
28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE